Heterogeneous H-Bonding in a Foldamer Helix
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ABSTRACT: Structural characterization of new α/γ-peptide foldamers containing the cyclically constrained γ-amino acid I is described. Crystallographic and 2D NMR analysis shows that γ residue I promotes the formation of a 12/10-helical secondary structure in α/γ-peptides. This helix contains two different types of internal H-bond, and the data show that the 12-atom C=O(i) → H–N(i+3) H-bond is more favorable than the 10-atom C=O(i) → H–N(i–1) H-bond. Several foldamer helices featuring topologically distinct H-bonds have been discovered, but our findings are the first to show that such H-bonds may differ in their favorability.

**Biology** relies on proteins and nucleic acids to carry out diverse functions, most of which require the biopolymer to adopt a complex and specific folding pattern. The relationship between sophisticated function and higher-order structure has inspired many groups to seek nonbiological oligomers that favor specific conformations (“foldamers”). Extrapolation from the poly-α-amino acid backbone of proteins has led to the study of β-peptides, γ-peptides, and higher homologues. Secondary structural motifs reminiscent of (but geometrically distinct from) those well-known in proteins, including helices, sheets, and reverse turns, have been characterized for these new backbones, and approaches to tertiary structure have been reported. The folding rules established for β- and γ-peptides have enabled the development of specific examples that display biomimetic function.

Expansion beyond the biopolymer prototypes allows deviation from particular structural parameters associated with proteins and nucleic acids. The backbones of these biopolymers, for example, are homogeneous in that each subunit is drawn from a single chemical class (e.g., α-amino acids), but heterogeneous backbones are readily accessed among synthetic oligomers. A variety of discrete secondary structures have been identified among peptidic foldamers with mixed backbones, including combinations of α + β residues or α + γ residues. For some applications, such as functional mimicry of a natural α-helix, heterogeneous backbones containing both α and β residues have proven to be superior to the homogeneous β residue backbone.

Within the regular helices found in proteins, each type of internal non-covalent contact is topologically equivalent across all of the subunits involved. In an α-helix, for example, all of the C=O(i) → H–N(i+4) H-bonds should be comparable to one another, excluding terminal effects, since the C=O and H–N groups are all similar. In contrast, different types of internal H-bonds occur within many of the helices that have been documented among peptidic foldamers with unnatural backbones. Such differences are inherent for helices formed by heterogeneous backbones because of subunit diversity. Thus, for example, an α/β-peptide contains H-bond-accepting groups (C=O) and -donating groups (N–H) from both α and β residues. Different types of H-bonds are found also in foldameric helices in which H-bond directionality alternates along the backbone, whether the backbone is homogeneous (e.g., in the β-peptide 10/12-helix and 18/20-helix) or heterogeneous (e.g., in the α/β-peptide 11/9-helix and 18/16-helix). These systems raise a fundamental question: are the different types of intrahelical H-bonds comparably favorable? Here we describe a new type of α/γ-peptide foldamer and provide the first evidence that distinct types of H-bonds formed within a regular secondary structure can differ in terms of favorability.

The new foldamers contain ring-constrained γ residues of type I (Figure 1). Either enantiomer of the necessary γ-amino acid building block can be efficiently prepared. Previous characterization of oligomers containing the stereoisomeric γ residue of type II established that this subunit, alone or in alternation with α or β residues, favors helices defined by C=O(i) → H–N(i+3) H-bonds. The present studies of α/γ-peptides containing I were intended to test the generality of the “stereochromatic pattern” hypothesis of Martinek, Fülöp, and co-workers, who proposed a correlation between H-bond directionality within foldamer helices and the signs of the torsion angles about the bonds that flank amide linkages (ψ/φ pairs from adjacent residues). If these torsion angles are all of the same sign, then all of the intrahelical H-bonds should be oriented in the same direction (as observed, for example, in the α-helix). If the torsion angles are not all of the same sign, then the directionality of the H-bonds is expected to be different. This study takes advantage of the opposite signs of dihedral angles (ϕ/ψ) in the α helix, which provide an orthogonal pattern for the orientation of H-bonds as defined by the φ/ψ torsion angles. This examination of the orientation of H-bonds about the backbone amides was recommended by Martinek and Fülöp for the study of the β-helix family of foldamers, which is characterized by helix directionality. As a result, the present studies of α/γ-peptides containing I were intended to test the generality of the “stereochromatic pattern” hypothesis of Martinek, Fülöp, and co-workers, who proposed a correlation between H-bond directionality within foldamer helices and the signs of the torsion angles about the bonds that flank amide linkages (ψ/φ pairs from adjacent residues). If these torsion angles are all of the same sign, then all of the intrahelical H-bonds should be oriented in the same direction (as observed, for example, in the α-helix). If the torsion angles are not all of the same sign, then the directionality of the H-bonds is expected to be different.

Figure 1. (a) Comparison of cyclic γ-amino acids studied by our group. (b) Inversion of the Cα stereocenter of II, forming I, is predicted to invert the adjacent amide H-bond directionality in the full-length H-bonded foldamer.
angles alternate between neighboring amides (i.e., if amides that have positive flanking torsion angles are adjacent to amides that have negative flanking torsion angles and vice versa), then intrahelical H-bonds should alternate in directionality relative to the helix axis.

The Martinek–Fülöp hypothesis led us to predict that altering only the stereocenter adjacent to the \( \phi \) torsion angle in \( \gamma \) residues (i.e., II \( \rightarrow \) I) would cause a wholesale change in helix geometry in \( \alpha/\gamma \)-peptides from a conformation with unidirectional H-bonds to a conformation with bidirectional H-bonds. This prediction relied on the fact that \( \alpha \) residues can readily adopt conformations in which the backbone torsion angles \( \phi \) and \( \psi \) have the same sign (\( \alpha \)-helix) or different signs (\( \beta \)-sheet). To test this prediction, \( \gamma \) residues of type I with absolute configuration of (S,S,R) at the (\( \alpha/\beta/\gamma \)) carbons had to be paired with i-\( \alpha \)-amino acid residues and (\( R, R, S \))-I with \( \alpha \)-residues.

Our initial efforts were aimed at crystallographic characterization of the new \( \alpha/\gamma (I) \)-peptides. Seven structures were obtained for oligomers containing four to six residues, three by racemic crystallization. The structures of tetramers \( 1a \sim c \), collectively, were not wholly consistent with our expectations. All three formed a 12-atom H-bond between the N-terminal Boc C=O and N–H of the \( \alpha \) residue closest to the C-terminus (Figure 2). This C=O(i) \( \rightarrow \) H–N(i+3) interaction is characteristic of both the 12/10-helix we expected and the 12-helix previously documented for \( \alpha/\gamma \)-peptides containing \( \gamma \) residue II. Only rac-1a (\( \alpha \) residues = Phe), however, displayed the 10-atom C=O(i) \( \rightarrow \) H–N(i–1) H-bond characteristic of the 12/10-helix. In this structure, the 10-atom H-bond was significantly longer than the 12-atom H-bond (N⋅⋅⋅O = 3.00 vs 2.83 Å). For 1b (\( \alpha \) residues = Ala), a second 12-atom H-bond formed, i.e., this \( \alpha/\gamma \)-peptide adopted a 12-helix rather than a 12/10-helix. \( \alpha/\gamma \)-Peptide 1c (\( \alpha \) residues = Ala) displayed an interesting variation on the 10-atom H-bond: the carbonyl of Ala-3 was linked to N–H(i–1) via an intermolecular H-bond chain that included a water molecule along with the N-terminal Ala carbonyl of its lattice neighbor.

Longer \( \alpha/\gamma \)-peptides containing \( \gamma \) residue I manifested a stronger preference for the 12/10-helix in the solid state, but the crystal structures offered hints that the 12-atom H-bonds are more favorable than the 10-atom H-bonds. Pentamer 2a (\( \alpha \) residues = Val) displayed a 12/10-helical conformation in which the maximum number of amide-to-amide H-bonds was formed, two in 12-atom rings and one in a 10-atom ring (Figure 3). All of the H-bonded N⋅⋅O distances in this structure were relatively long (>3.00 Å). The two structurally very similar symmetry-independent conformers of pentamer 2b (\( \alpha \) residues = Ala) adopted a 12/10-helix-like conformation in the crystal, but an ethanol molecule was found to be interpolated between the C=O and H–N groups that would have formed the 10-atom intrahelical H-bond (Figure 3b). The two 12-atom H-bonds in this structure featured significantly shorter N⋅⋅O distances than were found in the crystal structure of 2a.17

Hexamer rac-3 (\( \alpha \) residues = Phe) provided two crystal forms under slightly different solvent conditions (Figure 4). One crystal contained a 12/10-helix that seemed to unravel toward the C-terminus; this structure contained two short 12-atom H-bonds (2.85/2.86 Å) and a longer 10-atom H-bond (3.02 Å). A second

---

**Figure 2.** Structures of tetramers \( 1a \sim c \) depicting H-bonds observed in the crystal structures. Enantiomers of \( 1b \) and \( 1c \) are shown. \( \alpha \) residues are shaded in yellow, \( \gamma \) residues in green, and protecting groups in gray. 12-Atom H-bonds are shown in red and 10-Atom H-bonds in blue. The geometric criteria used for all of the H-bond assignments are N⋅⋅O distance < 4.0 Å and N–H⋅⋅O angle > 130°.

---

**Figure 3.** (a) Structures of pentamers \( 2a \) and \( 2b \). (b) Crystal structures of pentamers \( 2a \) and \( 2b \). Other colors as in Figure 2.

---

**Figure 4.** (a) Structure of hexamer 3. (b) Crystal structures 3a and 3b. The inserted H\(_2\)O molecule of 3b is also shown. Colors as in Figure 2.
amide—amide 10-atom H-bond would be possible toward the C-terminus, but in this case the poor geometric parameters (N–O distance of 4.09 Å and N–H···O angle of 135°) suggest that this interaction, if present, is substantially weaker than all of the other H-bonds described here. The other crystalline form of rac-3 revealed a 12/10-helix-like conformation in which a water molecule was interpolated between the C=O and H–N groups that would have formed the C-terminal 10-atom H-bond. The set of seven crystal structures collectively suggests that the new α/γ-peptide backbone has a propensity for 12/10-helix-like conformations but that H-bonding may be more favorable in the 12-atom rings than in the 10-atom rings.

To gain insight into the folding propensity of α/γ(I)-peptides in solution, we employed 2D NMR (COSY, TOCSY, and ROESY) to evaluate the conformations in CDCl₃ of five oligomers containing from five to nine residues. Evidence for 12/10-helical folding was obtained in each case in the form of inter-residue nuclear Overhauser effects (NOEs) between protons separated by 7 to 12 covalent bonds. Here we focus secondary structure were observed along most or all of patterns previously reported to be consistent with 12/10-helical folding was obtained in each case in the form of oligomers containing from 4 to 7 residues. 

Figure 5. (a) Nonsequential ROESY cross-peaks observed in nonamer 4 in CDCl₃. Uncertain integrals could not be reliably software-integrated. (b) 12/10-Helical cross-peak patterns observed in 4.

The normalized t₁/₂ values (Table 1) are generally largest toward the center of 5, which is consistent with the hypothesis that this α/γ-peptide adopts a helical conformation featuring internal H-bonds of alternation. The γ residue NH resonances show larger normalized t₁/₂ values than do the χ residue NH resonances. This trend is consistent with the hypothesis that the H-bonds formed by the χ residue NH groups (12-atom rings) are more favorable than the H-bonds formed by the γ residue NH groups (10-atom rings). Further support for the proposed distinction between 12- and 10-atom internal H-bonds was provided by experiments in which small aliquots of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) were added to a CDCl₃ solution of octamer 5 ("DMSO titrations").

The crystallographic and NMR data reported here show that α/γ-peptide secondary structure can be engineered on the basis of the stereochemical patterning hypothesis of Martinek, Fülöp, and co-workers. Altering just one configuration in the dipeptide repeating unit of the backbone by replacing γ(II) residues with γ(I) residues leads to a change in conformational preference from a helix with unidirectional H-bonds to a helix in which the H-bond direction alternates. The ability to alter the helix type by switching a single configuration within the γ residues represents a significant accomplishment in foldamer design.

Exploration of the new α/γ(I) backbone led to the unexpected discovery that the 12/10-helix formed by these foldamers contains H-bonds that differ in terms of favorability, with the χ residue C=O(i) → α residue H–N(i+3) H-bonds intrinsically superior to the α residue C=O(i) → γ residue H–N(i–1) H-bonds. This possibility was initially suggested by the seven crystal structures described here, in which the α residue C=O(i) → γ residue H–N(i–1) H-bond distances were long or other molecules were interpolated into these interactions. Such interations have occasionally been observed in proteins or short conventional peptides and more recently in α/γ-peptides, but the frequency of this unusual phenomenon in our structural data set stands out. HDX and DMSO titration results support the conclusion that there is an energetic differentiation between the two types of H-bond in the 12/10-helical conformation formed by the α/γ(I) backbone. We speculate that the γ(I) residue is not ideally reorganized for the 10-atom H-bonding mode. Several foldamer secondary...
Table 1. Relative HDX Half-Life Data for Octamer 5, Normalized to Dimer 6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>t1/2 (h)</th>
<th>γ(1-2)</th>
<th>Phe-3</th>
<th>t1/2</th>
<th>γ(3-5)</th>
<th>Phe-5</th>
<th>γ(5-8)</th>
<th>Phe-7</th>
<th>γ(7-8)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phe-1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phe-2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Structures containing different H-bond types have been discovered, and our results raise the possibility that topologically distinct H-bonds will in general be energetically differentiated.**